

# **Classifiers**, **Part 1**

# Prediction

Develop a model which can infer a single aspect of the data (predicted variable) from some combination of other aspects of the data (predictor variables)

- Sometimes used to predict the future
- Sometimes used to make inferences about the present

# Classification

- There is something you want to predict ("the label")
- The thing you want to predict is categorical
  - The answer is one of a set of categories, not a number
  - CORRECT/WRONG (sometimes expressed as 0,1)
    - We'll talk about this specific problem later in the course within latent knowledge estimation
  - HELP REQUEST/WORKED EXAMPLE REQUEST/ATTEMPT TO SOLVE
  - WILL DROP OUT/WON'T DROP OUT
  - WILL ENROLL IN MOOC A,B,C,D,E,F, or G

# Where do those labels come from?

- In-software performance
- School records
- Test data
- Survey data
- Field observations or video coding
- Text replays

# Classification

Associated with each label are a set of "features", which maybe you can use to predict the label

Skill	pknow	time	totalactions	right
ENTERINGGIVEN	0.704	9	1	WRONG
ENTERINGGIVEN	0.502	10	2	RIGHT
USEDIFFNUM	0.049	6	1	WRONG
ENTERINGGIVEN	0.967	7	3	RIGHT
REMOVECOEFF	0.792	16	1	WRONG
REMOVECOEFF	0.792	13	2	RIGHT
USEDIFFNUM	0.073	5	2	RIGHT

••••

# Classification

....

The basic idea of a classifier is to determine which features, in which combination, can predict the label

Skill	pknow	time	totalactions	right
ENTERINGGIVEN	0.704	9	1	WRONG
ENTERINGGIVEN	0.502	10	2	RIGHT
USEDIFFNUM	0.049	6	1	WRONG
ENTERINGGIVEN	0.967	7	3	RIGHT
REMOVECOEFF	0.792	16	1	WRONG
REMOVECOEFF	0.792	13	2	RIGHT
USEDIFFNUM	0.073	5	2	RIGHT

# Classifiers

There are hundreds of classification algorithms

- A good data mining package will have many implementations
  - RapidMiner
  - SAS Enterprise Miner
  - 🗖 Weka
  - KEEL

# Classification

□ Of course, usually there are more than 4 features

□ And more than 7 actions/data points

# **Domain-Specificity**

Specific algorithms work better for specific domains and problems

□ We often have hunches for why that is

But it's more in the realm of "lore" than really "engineering"

# Some algorithms I find useful

- Step Regression
- Logistic Regression
- □ J48/C4.5 Decision Trees
- JRip Decision Rules
- K\* Instance-Based Classifiers

□ There are many others!



□ Not step-wise regression

□ Used for binary classification (0,1)

# Step Regression

- Fits a linear regression function
  - (as discussed in previous class)
  - with an arbitrary cut-off
- Selects parameters
- Assigns a weight to each parameter
- Computes a numerical value
- Then all values below 0.5 are treated as 0, and all values >= 0.5 are treated as 1



a	b	С	d	Y
1	1	1	1	
0	0	0	0	
- 1	- 1	1	3	



a	b	С	d	Y
1	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	
- 1	- 1	1	3	



C	b	С	d	Y
1	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	0
- 1	- 1	1	3	



a	b	C	d	Y
1	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	0
-1	-1	1	3	0



C	b	С	d	Y
2	-1	0	1	



Step regression is used in RapidMiner by using linear regression with binary data

Other functions in different packages

# Step regression: should you use it?

 Step regression is not preferred by statisticians due to lack of closed-form expression

But often does better in EDM, due to lower over-fitting

Another algorithm for binary classification (0,1)

Given a specific set of values of predictor variables

Fits logistic function to data to find out the frequency/odds of a specific value of the dependent variable



#### m = a0 + a1v1 + a2v2 + a3v3 + a4v4...







A	B	С	Μ	P(M)
0	0	0		



A	B	С	Μ	<b>P(M)</b>
0	0	0	0	0.5



Α	B	С	Μ	P(M)
1	1	1	1	0.73



A	B	С	Μ	<b>P(M)</b>
-1	- 1	- 1	- 1	0.27



Α	B	С	Μ	<b>P(M)</b>
2	2	2	2	0.88



A	B	С	M	<b>P(M)</b>
3	3	3	3	0.95



A	B	С	Μ	P(M)
50	50	50	50	~1

## Relatively conservative

- Thanks to simple functional form, is a relatively conservative algorithm
  - I'll explain this in more detail later in the course



Cases where changes in value of predictor variables have predictable effects on probability of predicted variable class

$$m = 0.2A + 0.3B + 0.5C$$

Higher A always leads to higher probability
But there are some data sets where this isn't true!

# What about interaction effects?

 $\square A = Bad$ 

 $\square$  B = Bad

 $\square$  A+B = Good

# What about interaction effects?

Ineffective Educational Software = Bad

Off-Task Behavior = Bad

#### Ineffective Educational Software PLUS Off-Task Behavior = Good

Logistic and Step Regression are good when interactions are not particularly common

Can be given interaction effects through automated feature distillation

We'll discuss this later

But is not particularly optimal for this

# What about interaction effects?

Fast Responses + Material Student Already Knows Associated with Better Learning

Fast Responses + Material Student Does not Know Associated with Worse Learning



# An approach that explicitly deals with interaction effects

# **Decision Tree**





# **Decision Tree**





# **Decision Tree**





# **Decision Tree Algorithms**

There are several

 I usually use J48, which is an open-source reimplementation in Weka/RapidMiner of C4.5 (Quinlan, 1993)

J48/C4.5

- Can handle both numerical and categorical predictor variables
  - Tries to find optimal split in numerical variables
- Repeatedly looks for variable which best splits the data in terms of predictive power for each variable
- Later prunes out branches that turn out to have low predictive power
- Note that different branches can have different features!

## Can be adjusted...

To split based on more or less evidence

To prune based on more or less predictive power

# Relatively conservative

- Thanks to pruning step, is a relatively conservative algorithm
  - We'll discuss conservatism in a later class

## Good when data has natural splits





# Good when multi-level interactions are common

# Good when same construct can be arrived at in multiple ways

- A student is likely to drop out of college when he
  - Starts assignments early but lacks prerequisites
- □ OR when he
  - Starts assignments the day they're due

# What variables should you use?

# What variables should you use?

In one sense, the entire point of data mining is to figure out which variables matter

But some variables have more construct validity or theoretical justification than others – using those variables generally leads to more generalizable models

We'll talk more about this in a future lecture

# What variables should you use?

In one sense, the entire point of data mining is to figure out which variables matter

- More urgently, some variables will make your model general only to the data set where they were trained
  - These should not be included in your model
  - They are typically the variables you want to test generalizability across during cross-validation
    - More on this later



Your model of student off-task behavior should not depend on which student you have

 $\square$  "If student = BOB, and time > 80 seconds, then..."

This model won't be useful when you're looking at totally new students



Your model of student off-task behavior should not depend on which college the student is in

"If school = University of Pennsylvania, and time > 80 seconds, then..."

This model won't be useful when you're looking at data from new colleges



In modern statistics, you often need to explicitly include these types of variables in models to conduct valid statistical testing

This is a difference between classification and statistical modeling

We'll discuss it more in future lectures



More classification algorithms

Goodness metrics for comparing classifiers

Validating classifiers for generalizability

What does it mean for a classifier to be conservative?



Building regressors and classifiers in RapidMiner