### Week 3 Video 3

**Feature Engineering** 

### Feature Engineering

### **Feature Engineering**

- Up until this point in the class, we've talked about building and validating prediction models
- Models that infer a predicted variable from predictor variables

### Where the Predicted Variable Comes From

 A couple lectures ago, we went into a little more detail about where the predicted variable can come from

### Where the Predictor Variables Come From

- Where do the predictor variables come from?
- Do they fall out of the sky?
- Do they come from the Office for Predictor Variables in Washington, DC?

### **Feature Engineering**

The art of creating predictor variables

A major topic in its own right

### Why is it important?

 Feature engineering is the least well-studied part of the process of developing prediction models

But it's arguably the most important part Your model will never be any good if your features (predictors) aren't very good

### Why is it important?

- It is an art, it is human-driven design
- It involves lore rather than well-known and validated principles
- It is hard!

### The Big Idea

- How can we take the voluminous, ill-formed, and yet under-specified data that we now have in education
- And shape it into a reasonable set of variables
- In an efficient, effective, and predictive way?

### A process in its own right

- 1. Brainstorming features
- 2. Deciding what features to create
- 3. Creating the features
- 4. Studying the impact of features on model goodness
- 5. Iterating on features if useful
- 6. Go to 3 (or 1)

### **Brainstorming Features**

Can be more or less formal

### IDEO tips for Brainstorming

- 1. Defer judgment
- 2. Encourage wild ideas
- 3. Build on the ideas of others
- 4. Stay focused on the topic
- 5. One conversation at a time
- 6. Be visual
- 7. Go for quantity

http://www.openideo.com/fieldnotes/openideo-teamnotes/seven-tips-on-better-brainstorming

### Building on the Ideas of Others

Doesn't just have to be people nearby

- There's a huge literature out there of features people have tried and what has worked, or failed to work, for a range of problems
- Read papers from researchers working on similar problems, and see what you can use
- Some folks have also tried crowd-sourcing (Veeramacheneni et al., 2014)

### **Brainstorming Features**

- On hard projects, my research group often meets as a team over pizza and beer to brainstorm
- On easier projects, one person brainstorms solo

And then often discusses their features with another person, who offers further suggestions

# Deciding what features to create

#### There is never infinite time

A trade-off between the effort to create a feature and how likely it is to be useful

"How likely it is to be useful" – the best you can do is to

- Look at whether similar features have been useful for similar problems
- Use your best intuition
- Worth biasing in favor of features that are different than anything else you've tried before Explores a different part of the space

### **Creating features**

- Excel Really good for prototyping features
- Distillation Code The scalable solution... but harder to check yourself or explore

### Some useful tools in Excel

- Pivot Tables great for aggregating data, and getting the average, min, max, stdev
- Vlookup great for translating from aggregations (student-level data, for instance) back to action-level data

Example in this week's Walkthrough

### Further resources

http://www.howtogeek.com/howto/13780/using -vlookup-in-excel/

http://www.excel-easy.com/dataanalysis/pivot-tables.html

http://spreadsheets.about.com/od/datamanage mentinexcel/ss/8912pivot\_table.htm

## Other useful things you can do in Excel

- Counts-so-far
- Counts-last-n-actions
- Differentiating first and subsequent attempts
- Ratios between events of interest
- Cut-off based features

### **Feature Iteration**

 Sometimes when a feature looks like it might be good

It's worth iterating on that feature, trying close variants to see if they do better



 You have a feature "slow actions after hints" (cf. Shih, Koedinger, & Scheines, 2008)

You define "slow action" as an action taking over 20 seconds

What if 30 seconds is a better cut-off?

### Ways to accomplish this...

- By hand
- Programming (Java? Matlab?)
- Excel Equation Solver

### Details of features matter

For example, the same feature can have different impact depending on context

### (Baker et al., 2015)

Whether a student has opened their e-textbook predicts whether they fail the course
But with totally different precision and recall on the first day of the class versus the 7<sup>th</sup> day of the class



### **Excel Equation Solver Tutorials**

- http://office.microsoft.com/en-us/excelhelp/define-and-solve-a-problem-by-usingsolver-HP010072691.aspx
- http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K4QkLA3sT 10
- One tip: multistart option avoids local minima (that can sometimes block the solver from even getting started)

### A few thoughts

### Does feature engineering overfit?

- It can
- Which is why it's useful to remember
- The true test of a model is whether it works on entirely unseen data
- If you iterate a lot and use cross-validated goodness
- Then the true test of your model will be either a held-out data set or newly-collected data later on

### **Feature Engineering**

Your features come from somewhere

 You can take a standard set of variables or pre-existing variables
No question it's faster

 But thinking about your variables is likely to lead to better models

Actually evidence for this, see (Sao Pedro et al., 2012)

### Next Lecture

Automated feature generation and selection